#### PLANNING COMMITTEE - 12 JANUARY 2023

PART 5

Report of the Head of Planning

#### PART 5

Decisions by County Council and Secretary of State, reported for information

### Item 5.1 – Broadoak Farm, Broadoak Road, Milstead ME9 0RS

#### **APPEAL ALLOWED**

#### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

## **Observations**

The Inspector did not agree with the Council that the proposed detached garage would cause harm to the host property or countryside setting on an already significantly extended property. The Inspector determined that the proposal would result in a more enclosed space giving an impression of a traditional farmyard sympathetic to the rural character of the surroundings and allowed the appeal on that basis.

# • Item 5.2 – Land adjoining The Sherries, Church Road, Eastchurch

#### **APPEAL DISMISSED**

### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

## **Observations**

A good decision which concluded that the adverse impacts arising from urbanisation and encroachment into the countryside significantly and demonstrably outweighed the benefits of adding a single dwelling to the supply of housing.

### Item 5.3 – 22 Chapel Street Minster

## **APPEAL DISMISSED**

### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

### **Observations**

A good decision in which the Inspector fully agreed with the Council that the proposal for a dropped kerb and drive/parking area would be harmful to highways safety and cause harm to the character and appearance of the area.

## Item 5.4 – Westfields Park Dairy Swanton Street Bredgar

## **APPEAL DISMISSED**

#### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

## **Observations**

A good decision where the Inspector agreed with the Council's reasons for refusal in that the site was in an unsustainable location for residential use and the design of the proposal would cause harm to the character and appearance of the area.

## • Item 5.5 – 40 Willement Road Faversham

## **APPEAL DISMISSED**

### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

#### **Observations**

A good decision where the Inspector agreed with the Council's reason for refusal that the siting of a dwelling in this location would produce an oddly hemmed-in dwelling losing the pleasant spacious symmetry of the cul-de-sac.

## Item 5.6 – The Shipyard Upper Brents Ind Est Faversham

## **APPEAL ALLOWED**

#### **DELEGATED REFUSAL**

### **Observations**

The Inspector did not agree with the Council's primary reason for refusal that the proposal would have an unacceptable effect on the supply of industrial/commercial land in the area. However, the Inspector considered that the proposal would provide unacceptable living conditions for its future occupiers and dismissed the appeal on this basis.